## DOE'S RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FOR CONDITIONALLY APPROVED PART 5 WORK PLAN

02/20/92

OEPA/DOE-FO
1
LETTER
OU5



State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

**Southwest District Office** 

40 South Main Street Dayton, Ohio 45402-2086 (513) 285-6357 FAX (513) 285-6249



5808

George V. Voinovich Governor

February 20, 1992

RE: DOE'S RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FOR CONDITIONALLY APPROVED PART 5 WORK PLAN

Mr. Jack R. Craig Project Manager U.S. DOE FEMP P.O. Box 398705 Cincinnati, Ohio 45239

Dear Mr. Craig:

Listed below are comments and concerns regarding DOE's Response to USEPA and Ohio EPA Comments for the Conditionally Approved Part 5 Work Plan and Transmittal of Revised Hydropunching Procedure.

- 1. Comment #5 This response and sections 19-22 of the Groundwater Report only discuss how values were changed without providing a justification based on geochemical analyses.
- 2. Enclosure #3 Ohio EPA requests a copy of procedures used for future pump tests.
- 3. Enclosure #4 contains significant changes to the previously conditionally approved work plan. DOE needs to submit a revised work plan detailing the proposed changes listed in Enclosure #4. DOE must keep in mind, while revising the work plan, that one of the primary objectives of Part 5 was to define the southern extent of the 20 ug/l uranium isopleth and evaluate how this contamination might be captured in light of additional contaminants within the aquifer.

If you have any questions about these issues, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Graham E. Mitchell Project Manager

GEM/klj

cc: Section Manager, DERR T&PSS
Jim Saric, U.S. EPA
Lisa August, Geotrans
Ed Schuessler, PRC
Robert Owen, ODH

	4
Date Recid	FEB 2 4 1992
Log F-/	927
File	
Library	